



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/710,328	11/09/2000	Shigeru Mori	450100-02841	5072
20999	7590 11/19/2003	EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			CHANG, AUDREY Y	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				- 4
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	B
(♦ .	Advisory Action	09/710,328	MORI ET AL.	
	,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Audrey Y. Chang	2872	
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
There final recondite	REPLY FILED 06 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAGE fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in	
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) [2 b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
have be 37 CFR (b) abo\	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee the final Office action; or (2) as set t	under forth in
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a	$oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifyi	ng the
(d	they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amend	Iment
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place	the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	/
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>35, 36, and 39</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	1		
10.			Audrey Y. Chang Primary Examiner	
			Art Unit: 2872	

Application N .

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/710,328



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment (claim 41) introduces new features and potential new matters. The applicant is respectfully reminded that the proposed claim does not recite the features as depicted in Figure 6 correctly.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive to overcome the rejections, for the arguments are based on newly added features and on the limitaitons not in the claims.